

Los Angeles County AUDIT COMMITTEE

Louisa Ollague, Chair

1st District
Lori Glasgow, Vice-Chair
5st District
Clinton Tatum
2nd District
Lisa Mandel
3rd District
Carl Gallucci
4st District

April 3, 2007

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

COMMISSION REVIEW PROCESS-SUNSET REVIEW DATE FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING

(ALL DISTRICTS AFFECTED) (3 VOTES)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

Approve extension of the sunset review date for the Los Angeles County Commission on Aging to March 31, 2011.

PURPOSE OF RECOMMENDED ACTION/JUSTIFICATION:

The sunset review date for the Los Angeles County Commission on Aging was inadvertently allowed to expire on June 30, 2006. Since such date, the Board has continued to appoint and reappoint members to the Commission on Aging. Therefore, it is necessary for the Board to extend the sunset review date as requested by the Audit Committee to March 31, 2011.

On December 1, 1994, the Audit Committee submitted its initial report in response to your Board's instruction to review the effectiveness of County commissions, committees and task forces defined under Chapters I and IV of the Los Angeles County Committee Book. Consistent with your Board's instructions, the Audit Committee is submitting the results of the evaluation of the Commission on Alcoholism (Commission) performed by the Auditor-Controller, and the recommendations from the Audit Committee for further action by your Board.

The Commission advises the Board on issues facing older adults in the County, such as mental health, health care reform, entitlements and benefits (Medicare/Medi-Cal), nursing home reform, in-home care and long term care.

During this review period, the Commission organized three Annual Countywide Health Fairs to provide seniors with free health screenings and other related information; held 15 Seniors on the Move demonstrations to encourage seniors to use public transportation; and celebrated three older American Recognition Day luncheons to honor senior volunteers throughout the County. The Commission formed the Needs Assessment Task

Audit Committee April 3, 2007 Page 2

Force and the Elder Abuse and Fraud Prevention Committee, to keep County departments that provide services to the elderly informed of the various issues and needs of seniors.

In addition, the Commission published quarterly newsletters and, in partnership with several other organizations, held three Annual Midlife and Older Women's Conferences, to provide seniors with information on nutrition, health, and the services available to them throughout the County.

FISCAL/FINANCING IMPACTS:

Each member of the Commission, except for a member of the Board of Supervisors or his or her designee, receive a \$25 stipend for each meeting attended, not to exceed three meetings in any quarter. Commission expenses, including stipends, travel, and services and supplies, were approximately \$15,400, \$17,200, and \$14,300, in fiscal years 2003-04, 2004-05, and 2005-06, respectively.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:

The Commission was established and has been continued by Board Order No. 97 of July 12, 1949 as amended, Board Order No. 17 of April 21, 1987, Ordinance No. 90-0086, Board Order No. 11 of June 13, 1995, Board Order No. 60 of September 21, 1999, Ordinance No. 99-0083, Board Order No. 16 of April 4, 2000 and Board Order No. 22 of June 10, 2003. The Board approved sunset review date for the Commission was June 30, 2006.

The Commission's mission is to preserve and promote the health and general welfare of older persons living in the County. The Commission's duties are as follows: advise the Board and various County departments providing services to the aged population; encourage and assist local communities and groups living in Los Angeles County to plan for and develop services for older persons; provide a general education program designed to create self-sufficiency among older adults; and increase the understanding of the problems, needs, and contributions of such persons by the community as a whole.

The Commission consists of 45 members, eight nominated by each Supervisor and each Supervisor or his or her designee. Although the Commission's average attendance was somewhat low, the Commission successfully fulfilled it duties.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS):

Extending the sunset review will allow this body to continue to focus on planning for the future growth in the senior population; continue to hold events such as the Older American Recognition Day, Annual Midlife and Older Women's Conference, and Seniors on the Move; and work with the Needs Assessment Task Force, the senior community, County departments and other entities to address seniors' need.

Audit Committee April 3, 2007 Page 3

Respectfully submitted,

Chairperson, Audit Committee

C: Chief Administrative Officer

Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors

Commission Services County Counsel

Auditor-Controller

Chair, Los Angeles County Commission on Aging Director, Department of Community and Senior Services



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2766 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

February 5, 2007

AGENDA ITEM.

FEB 15 2007

MAR 15 2007

TO:

Audit Committee

FROM:

J. Tyler McCauley Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT: SUNSET REVIEW FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION

ON AGING

RECOMMENDATION

The Audit Committee recommend to the Board of Supervisors to extend the Los Angeles County Commission on Aging's sunset review date to March 31, 2011.

BACKGROUND

The Commission on Aging (Commission) was established in 1949, and most recently was continued by the Board of Supervisors (Board) in June 2003. The Commission's mission is to preserve and promote the health and general welfare of older persons living in the County. The Commission's duties include the following:

- · Advise the Board and various County departments providing services to the aging.
- Encourage and assist local communities and groups in the County to plan for. and develop services for, older persons.
- Provide a general education program designed to create self-sufficiency among older adults.
- Increase the understanding of the problems, needs and contributions of such persons by the community as a whole.

Audit Committee February 5, 2007 Page 2

The Commission consists of 45 members, eight nominated by each Supervisor, and each of the Supervisors or his/her designee. The Commission is required to meet monthly, and from October 1, 2002 through March 31, 2006, held 39 of the 42 required meetings (93%), with an average attendance of 18 members (40%). Although the Commission's average attendance was somewhat low, the Commission successfully fulfilled its duties.

Except for the Supervisors and their designees, Commissioners receive a \$25 stipend for each meeting attended, not to exceed three meetings in a quarter. Commission expenses, including stipends, travel, and services and supplies, were approximately \$15,400, \$17,200, and \$14,300, in fiscal years 2003-04, 2004-05, and 2005-06, respectively.

JUSTIFICATION

The Commission advises the Board on issues facing older adults in the County, such as mental health, health care reform, entitlements and benefits (Medicare/Medi-Cal), nursing home reform, in-home care and long term care.

During this review period, the Commission organized three Annual Countywide Health Fairs to provide seniors with free health screenings and other related information; held 15 Seniors on the Move demonstrations to encourage seniors to use public transportation; and celebrated three Older Americans Recognition Day luncheons to honor senior volunteers throughout the County. In addition, the Commission formed the Needs Assessment Task Force and the Elder Abuse and Fraud Prevention Committee, to keep County departments that provide services to the elderly informed of the various issues and needs of seniors. The Commission also published quarterly newsletters and, in partnership with several other organizations, held three Annual Midlife and Older Women's Conferences, to provide seniors with information on nutrition, health and the services available to them throughout the County.

For the next evaluation period, the Commission will continue to focus on planning for the future growth in the senior population. The Commission plans to continue to hold events such as the Older American Recognition Day, Annual Midlife and Older Women's Conference, and Seniors on the Move. In addition, the Commission will continue to work with the Needs Assessment Task Force, the senior community, County departments and other entities to address seniors' needs.

Audit Committee February 5, 2007 Page 3

Please call me if you have any questions.

JTM:MMO:JLS:MR

Attachments

C: Manny Guerrero, President, Los Angeles County Commission on Aging Cynthia Banks, Director, Department of Community and Senior Services Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer Robin A. Guerrero, Chief, Board Operations Jim Corbett, Manager, Commission Services

COMMISSION SUNSET REVIEW LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING REVIEW COMMENTS

Mission. (Does the mission statement agree with the Board of Supervisors' purpose and expectations?)

Stated mission is as set forth in the ordinance creating Commission. CONCUR

Section 1. Relevance. (Is the mission still relevant and in agreement with the Board of Supervisors' purpose and expectations?)

The mission remains relevant by keeping the Board informed of issues facing older adults in Los Angeles County. **RELEVANT**

Section 2. Meetings and Attendance. (Are required meetings held and is attendance satisfactory?)

The Commission has 45 members and is required to meet monthly. From October 1, 2002 through March 31, 2006, the Commission held 39 of the 42 required meetings (93%), with an average attendance of 18 members (40%). Although the Commission's attendance was somewhat low, the Commission fulfilled its duties (see Sections 3 and 4 below). Meeting Frequency-SATISFACTORY Attendance-UNSATISFACTORY

Sections 3 and 4. Accomplishments and Results. (Are listed accomplishments and results significant?)

During the evaluation period, the Commission achieved the following:

- Organized three annual Countywide Health Fairs, which provided seniors with free health screenings and related health information.
- Held 15 Seniors on the Move demonstrations in collaboration with various city transit services, to encourage seniors to use public transportation.
- Held three Older Americans Recognition Day luncheons to honor outstanding older residents throughout the County, who were selected by various municipalities for their continuing contribution to their communities.
- Formed the Needs Assessment Task Force, which, in collaboration with other community partners, designed and conducted a survey to identify senior's unmet needs. The results of this survey should be available in 2007.

- Formed the Elder Abuse and Fraud Prevention Committee, which conducted a police training seminar with the Los Angeles Police Department and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, to provide information on elder abuse and encourage law enforcement to investigate and prosecute elder abuse complaints.
- Held three Annual Midlife and Older Women's Conferences in partnership with AAA Advisory Council, Commission for Women, and Los Angeles City Department on Aging to provide information on nutrition, health and other women's issues.
- > Published and distributed a quarterly newsletter to keep seniors informed of the services available to them throughout the County.

The Commission's accomplishments appear to be **SIGNIFICANT**.

Section 5. Objectives. (Are the objectives compatible with the mission and goals and relevant within the current County environment?)

In the coming years, the Commission will continue to focus on planning for the future growth in the senior population. The Commission's goals include:

- Continue collaboration with County and other entities to enhance the lives of seniors.
- Continue to hold Older American Recognition Day awards to honor outstanding older residents throughout the County for their continuing contribution to their communities.
- Continue planning and participating in the Annual Midlife and Older Women's Conference.
- Report the results of the needs assessment survey "LA Seniors Count" to the Board.
- Continue the Seniors on the Move program to provide more hands-on and informal public transit training sessions.

The Commission's future objectives appear RELEVANT

Section 6. Resources. (Are the resources utilized by the entity in support of the entity's activities warranted in terms of the accomplishments and results?)

Commission expenses, including meeting stipends, travel, and services and supplies, were approximately \$15,400, \$17,200, and \$14,300, in fiscal years 2003-04, 2004-05, and 2005-06, respectively. Given the Commission's level of involvement and its accomplishments, these costs appear reasonable. WARRANTED

Section 7. Recommendation.

EXTEND THE SUNSET REVIEW DATE FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING TO MARCH 31, 2011.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commissioner	Nominated by	12/31/02	3/31/03	8739/03	9/30/03	12/31/03	3/21/04	6/30/04	9/30/04	12/31/34	3/31/05	6/30/05	9/39/05	12/01/05	3/31/06	Entals	% Attende
Number of Weethous per Courter		7	3	3	,	2	3	2	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	39	
Nodia D. Pawers	Motins	1	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	0	38	72%
Manny Guetrere	Molina	NAME OF STREET					A SHE	NAME:	2	3	3	_ 3	3	2	2	18	#6%
Hedi Gradow	Moline	1	1	0	0	. 0	- 6	0	b	. 0	σ	0	0	0	0	2	5%
Mary Helen Cruz	Malina	1	3	3	3	1	3	2	-1	0	3	3	3	1	2	31	79%
Julia Negrata	Molina	0	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	. 0	0	0	2	5%
Ownings M. Delpsdo	Malina	2	1	3	2	2	1	2	3	1	.0	2.	2	1	ð	12	56%
Gene Hyaung Kim	Burke	2	2	0	- 1	0	3	3	2	9	9	9	ú	0	1	12	31%
Wingson L. Smith	Burke	0	0	6	0	0	Ď	0	0	0	0		医生 胚			0	0%
Maria V. Perez	Burke	- 0	0	0	0	0	ō	.0	0	0	HOMES	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)					0%
Mary Anne D'Regi	Burke					医						無多数可	2	2	3	7	78%
Rse Nell Sympass	Burke					Herri					0	2	- 3	1	3	- 5	30%
Mathaniel "Nate" Riddick	Burke		3	3	3	1	7.	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	38	92%
Civied Malarava T, Leiato	Durke	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		6	0	0	0	ARRED IN	. 0	6%
N. Jayce Carmon	Burke	11	- 5	1	3	0	2		1995		promote services	4-12-16-17	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	-	AND DE	6	36%
Archie Ann Fields, R.H.	Burke	2	3	1	3	2	3	- 0		ō	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Commercial states	The second	COLUMN TO SERVICE SERV	Harris	14	58%
Byrnica Wands	Hurite	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	. 0	Marith	SA MILL	7.65	納多種		_1	4%
Ovarie Smith	Burke	孫學識	Service.			LEADER		超相關	-1	2	2	2	Z	t	2	12	57%
Plenin C. Hyde	Burke		19.11			使時期			车外数				2	3	2	7	78%
William R. Jookson	Butke						清美城				用题 课题	Control of	2	1	2	5	56%
Marilya Green Fried	Yatastavsky	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	1	3	1	3	3	3	2	32	82%
Daniel Calven	Yozosłavsky	2	1	ž	2	2	3	2	1	2	THE SECOND				海岸中的	19	79%
Beroard S. Weinlaub	Yamstavsky	7	3	ž	3	1	3	2	3	3	_ 1	. 3	3	3	3	37	95%
Seran Ng	Yamslavsky	FEEDERS TAN	è	2	1	1	3	1	1	2	- 1	3.	2	3	1	21	57%
Jules N. Drzznin	Yuruslausky	2	3	1	2	0_	2	2	3	5	2	3	2	2	3	30	77%
Isla B. Kinney	Yamslavsky	ð	0	0	0	0	q	0	9	- 5	0	0		0	9	0	8%
Ethward L. Kusaman	Ygroslavsky	9	- 8	1_	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	1	4	18%
Krishan D, ShaBa	Yarasiausky	表明细心	0	2	3	2	3	1	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	39	81%
Haratd Payne	Knabe	. 0	D	0	海绵	PLANE S		明性通訊			APP D		67.18	到的過程		0	0%
Edward W. Long	Krabe			BURNEY	0	0	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	27	74%
Herman H. Ckai	Knabe	0	Ú	1	0	9	В	9	0	8	0	0	8	6	0	1	3%
William T. Hasson, H	Knabe	9	2	2	J	1	0	9	0	. 0	E Jacobson Special Co.	Service Season Season		Section 1		8	33%
Einie Ge Le, Ph.D.	Krabe	T THE PERSON NAME OF		0	0	0									ながを手引	_1_	0%
Hedy L_Hamsen	Knabe			En By	可能						研究的		2	1	2	7	78%
Sziz A, Amiri	Krane	HARRY		PERM					語が記	BEE BY	0	1	1	2	2	F	40%
Cindy Storgard	Knabe		0	2	. 1	. 2	3	1	2	2	2	1	- 2	- 3	1	76	70%
Patricia E. Bray	Knabé	O CONTRACTOR OF STATE	9	0	0	0		阿利斯		HEIGH		WHAT	ALC: AND	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		.0	.0%
yune L. Famell	Knabe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in a line	St.			新山湖		REPORT OF	1	2	ż	2	2	2	11	61%
Gista Hayes	Knabe	0	1	3	2	. 1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0		23%
Robert G, McCaman	Knabe				8	1	2	6	Y.	1						_ 5	31%
Sam Demontrante	Koate		The state of the s		and the later of t	PH SET	Trans.		4000	計場外所	WHITE OF		. 2	0	2	4	44%
Dersen M. Myore	Anlangwich	2	- 3	1	3	2	2	2	3	1	0	_ 3	3	2	3	31	79%
Philip M. Kent	Antenovich	9	- 1	1	0	0	0	1	- 6	B	. 0	11	ě			4	125
Adene Okamoto	Antanoxich	5000000	2	3	3	1_	3	1	3	3	. 1	. 3	3	. 2	3	34	11.7%
Barbaca Peterson Sinciair	Antanavich	Month and Helps	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other	_1_	_3	_ 1	2	2	3	3	1	1	3	1)	78	02%
Ted R. Anderson	Anthrovich	2	_ 3	3	2	- 2	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	23	85%
Thomas M. Shea	Antonevich	1 5488948	3 #9#iiii	2	3 HQ165047	1 財政協議	2	_1	3	2	_ 3	3	- 3	2	1_	31	79%
Natalie Ambroice	Antone rich	CHARLET AND A	STATES INC.		-	TO CHE	_1_	2	2	3	_2_	3	3	3	3	22	85%
daithear P. Lynch	Antanavich	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner	-1-	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	2	28	76%
Yotate	_	28	43	47	50	31	98	-37	52	49	42	.59	98	81	54	697	